

Organization TC 3600

Bldg./Room

KNOX

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

**RECEIVED**

JUN 9 2005

USPTO MAIL CENTER



Official Business  
Penalty For Private Use, \$300



ATTEMPTED, NOT KNOWN



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*IFW* 8  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/349,676	07/08/1999	KRISTEN DIANE ONDECK	PHA-23.681	6934

7590                  06/10/2005

Corporate Patent Counsel  
Philips Electronics North America Corporation  
580 White Plains Road  
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591

EXAMINER

JANVIER, JEAN D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3622

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED  
OIPE/IAP

JUL 01 2005

<b>Notice of Abandonment</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/349,676	ONDECK, KRISTEN DIANE	
	Examiner Jean Janvier	Art Unit 3622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1.  Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 22 November 2004.
  - (a)  A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of \_\_\_\_\_ month(s)) which expired on \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (b)  A proposed reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
  - (c)  A reply was received on \_\_\_\_\_ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
  - (d)  No reply has been received.
2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
  - (a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
  - (b)  The submitted fee of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is insufficient. A balance of \$\_\_\_\_\_ is due.  
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$\_\_\_\_\_. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$\_\_\_\_\_.
  - (c)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3.  Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
  - (a)  Proposed corrected drawings were received on \_\_\_\_\_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated \_\_\_\_\_), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
  - (b)  No corrected drawings have been received.
4.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5.  The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6.  The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on \_\_\_\_\_ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7.  The reason(s) below:

See Continuation Sheet

Jean Janvier  
Examiner  
Art Unit: 3622

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The Examiner called the Attorney of record, regarding the status of the instant Application, a couple of weeks ago and left a message on the Attorney's voice mail. However, there was no response to the Examiner's inquiry. The Examiner had contacted the Attorney once again today and left a message on the Attorney's voice mail. And the Attorney promptly replied by stating that the last correspondence mailed by the Office went to the wrong address and that he understood that the time to respond has expired and that the case will be abandoned accordingly and he intends to revive it under 37 CFR 1.137 for unintentional abandonment.

**JEAN D. JANVIER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Janvier-Jean D." followed by "Rene".